# Electron collision studies on CN<sup>+</sup>, CN<sup>-</sup>, HCN<sup>+</sup>/HNC<sup>+</sup> and C<sub>1</sub><sup>-</sup>

#### by Arnaud Le Padellec

LCAR UMR 5589, Université Paul Sabatier-Toulouse III, 118 route de Narbonne, Bât. III R1B4, 31062 Toulouse Cedex 4, France arnaud.lepadellec@irsamc.ups-tlse.fr

### CRYogenicRING (Stockholm Sweden) : an heavy ion storage ring.



 $CN^+ + e$ 

## $CN^+$

-Spectrum first reported by Douglas and Routly (Astrophys. J. 119, 303, (1954)).

#### -Uncertainty as to the identity of its ground state :

#### Calculations:

-Wu :  ${}^{3}\Pi$  state ground state, lying 0.33 eV below the lowest singlet  ${}^{1}\Sigma^{+}$  state (*Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **59**, 457, (1978)).

-Shimakura *et al* :  ${}^{1}\Sigma^{+}$  state lower than the triplet state by 0.63 eV (*Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **55**, 221, (1978)).

-Murrell et al : two states degenerated (Mol. Phys., 38, 1755, (1979)).

-Bruna *et al*, Roos *et al* and Hirst have firmly established that the singlet state is the ground state lying at an energy of between 0.07 eV and 0.45 eV below the triplet state (*J. Chem. Phys.*, **72**, 5437, (1980), *Chem. Phys.*, **66**, 197, (1982) and *Mol. Phys.*, **82**, 359, (1994)).

#### Experiments:

-Reid : the singlet state is the ground state with a separation of 0.12 eV from the triplet state.



#### -CN<sup>+</sup> reported in flames

-CN<sup>+</sup> not reported in interstellar clouds. formed by:  $C^+ + NH \rightarrow CN^+ + H$ 

7.80 x10<sup>-10</sup> cm<sup>3</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> (exothermic by 1.9 eV) 1.9 x10<sup>-10</sup> cm<sup>3</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>.

Question of the abundance of the different reactants : NH a minor component

destroyed by:  $CN^+ + H_2 \rightarrow HCN^+ + H$   $CN^+ + H \rightarrow H^+ + CN$   $CN^+ + CO CO^+ + CN$   $1.24 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3\text{s}^{-1}$   $1.9 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3\text{s}^{-1}$  $6.3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3\text{s}^{-1}$ .

 $CH^+ + N \rightarrow CN^+ + H$ 

The DR process competes with ion-molecule reactions in the interstellar clouds, at least if the electron fraction is sufficiently large. Is it large enough?

## Some experimental facts...

-Nitrogen/methane : 9/1 -CN<sup>+</sup>(X<sup>1</sup> $\Sigma$ <sup>+</sup> and <sup>3</sup> $\Pi$  (0.08eV)) with  $\tau$ (<sup>3</sup> $\Pi$ ) >12s  $\Rightarrow$  <sup>1</sup> $\Sigma$ <sup>+</sup> and <sup>3</sup> $\Pi$  both populated !

-Vibrational relaxation times of :

38, 58 and 115 ms for the v = 3, v = 2 and v = 1 levels of the  $X^1\Sigma^+$  state. 101, 152 and 304 ms for the  $a^3\Pi$  state .

 $\Rightarrow$  <sup>1</sup> $\Sigma^+$  and <sup>3</sup> $\Pi$ , v=0 populated !

## Many exothermic channels...

 $CN^{+}(X^{1}\Sigma^{+}, v=0) + e^{-} \rightarrow C(^{3}P) + N(^{4}S) + 6.3 \text{ eV}$ 

 $\rightarrow C(^{1}\Gamma) + N(^{3}S) + 0.5 eV$   $\rightarrow C(^{1}D) + N(^{4}S) + 5.0 eV$   $\rightarrow C(^{3}P) + N(^{2}D) + 3.9 eV$   $\rightarrow C(^{1}S) + N(^{4}S) + 3.6 eV$   $\rightarrow C(^{3}P) + N(^{2}P) + 2.7 eV$   $\rightarrow C(^{1}D) + N(^{2}D) + 2.6 eV$   $\rightarrow C(^{1}D) + N(^{2}D) + 2.6 eV$   $\rightarrow C(^{5}S) + N(^{4}S) + 2.1 eV$   $\rightarrow C(^{1}D) + N(^{2}P) + 1.4 eV$   $\rightarrow C(^{1}S) + N(^{2}D) + 1.2 eV$  $\rightarrow C(^{1}S) + N(^{2}P) + 0.0 eV$ 

+10 more limits coming from  $CN^+(a^3\Pi, v=0) + e^-$ 



## **BRANCHING FRACTION DETERMINATION**

$$P(D) = \frac{1}{D_{L+1/2} - D_{L-1/2}} \left( \cos^{-1} \left( \min \left( 1, \frac{D}{D_{L+1/2}} \right) \right) - \cos^{-1} \left( \min \left( 1, \frac{D}{D_{L-1/2}} \right) \right) \right)$$



DR meeting Chicago August 26-30 2001

 $C(^{3}P)+N(^{4}S)$ <1.8 %</th> $C(^{1}D)+N(^{4}S)$ 3.8 % $C(^{3}P)+N(^{2}D)/C(^{1}S)+N(^{4}S)$ 14.2 % $C(^{3}P)+N(^{2}P)/C(^{1}D)+N(^{2}D)$ 56.1 % $C(^{1}D)+N(^{2}P)/C(^{1}S)+N(^{2}D)$ 25.5 % $C(^{5}S)+N(^{4}S)$  and  $C(^{1}S)+N(^{2}P)$ <1-1.4 %</td>

Branchings at 0 eV...



•D<sup>2</sup> $\Pi$ , E<sup>2</sup> $\Sigma$ <sup>+</sup> and <sup>2</sup> $\Pi$ (3) do not intersect the ionic curves.

 $\Rightarrow$  not suitable drive the DR via the direct process.

 $\Rightarrow$  the limits correlating to these states are not populated.

•CN<sup>+</sup>(X<sup>1</sup> $\Sigma$ <sup>+</sup>) : best Franck-Condon overlap with <sup>2</sup> $\Sigma$ <sup>+</sup>(5).

•CN<sup>+</sup>( $a^{3}\Pi$ ) : best overlap with  ${}^{2}\Sigma^{+}(6)$  and  ${}^{2}\Pi(6)$ .

 $\Rightarrow$  C(<sup>3</sup>P)+N(<sup>2</sup>P), C(<sup>1</sup>D)+N(<sup>2</sup>D), C(<sup>1</sup>D)+N(<sup>2</sup>P) and C(<sup>1</sup>S)+N(<sup>2</sup>D) limits represent altogether 81.6 % of the dissociating flux.

•C(<sup>1</sup>S)+N(<sup>2</sup>P) not significantly populated.  $\Rightarrow$  the corresponding dissociative state converging to this asymptotic limit and displaying a favorable curve crossing near the v = 0 of the ion would have to be extremely shallow!

 $CN^- + e$ 

## CN<sup>-</sup>

### -Structure mainly known from theoretical works.

•Taylor *et al* : construction of the  ${}^{1}\Sigma^{+}$  ground state potential by using calculations based on configuration interaction and coupled-pair methods

(J. Chem. Phys., **70(10)**, 4481 (1979))  $\Rightarrow$  Reliable ro-vibrational data.

Ha and Zumofen : spectroscopic constants and potential curves for both the <sup>1</sup>Σ<sup>+</sup> ground state and the <sup>1</sup>Π and <sup>3</sup>Π excited states performing CI calculations
 (Molec. Phys., 40(2), 445 (1980)).

#### -Little known about the dynamical properties

Pulm *et al* : photoionisation study (Chem. Phys., **92**, 457 (1985)).

Matti-Maricq *et al* : vibrational product state distribution from reactions of CN<sup>-</sup> with hydrogen halides (Cl, Br and I) and hydrogen atoms
 (J. Chem. Phys., 74, 6154 (1981)).

### PRACTICAL "APPLICATIONS"

-Readily forms robust complexes with transition metal ions ("Advanced Inorganic Chemistry", Wiley-Interscience, New York, (1972)).
-Can be used to dope alkali halide crystals ("Molecular spectroscopy", Vol 1, Chemical Society, London, (1972)).

## Some experimental facts...

-Property : high degree of stability against detachment -CN<sup>-</sup> produced in a cesium sputter ion source (boron nitride cathode) -Lifetime  $\tau(a^{3}\Pi)=21 \text{ ms } \Rightarrow \text{ only CN}(X^{1}\Sigma^{+}) \text{ populated!}$ -Vibrational relaxation times of : 700, 930, 1390 and 2790 ms for the levels v = 4, 3, 2 and 1.  $\Rightarrow X^{1}\Sigma^{+}, v=0 \text{ and } 1 \text{ populated!}$ 

## Investigated channels

$CN^- + e \rightarrow CN + 2e$	(a)
$\rightarrow$ C <sup>-</sup> + N + e	(b)
$\rightarrow$ C + N + 2e	(c)
$\rightarrow$ C + N <sup>+</sup> + 3e	(d)
$\rightarrow C^+ + N + 3e$	(e)
$\rightarrow CN^+ + 3e$	(f)
$\rightarrow$ C <sup>-</sup> + N <sup>+</sup> + 2e	(g)
$\rightarrow$ C <sup>+</sup> + N <sup>+</sup> + 4e	(h)

We recorded the neutral fragments  $\Rightarrow$  (a) and (c) could not be distinguished  $\Rightarrow$  use of a grid in front of the Surface Barrier Detector.

### EXPERIMENTAL FINDINGS

### At 60 eV :

91( $\pm$ 4) % branch into the pure detachment channel CN+2e 8( $\pm$ 4) % branch into the dissociation channel C<sup>-</sup> + N.

The flux into all other open channels represents less than 1 % !

Figure  $\Rightarrow$  PURE DETACHMENT  $\Rightarrow$  Onset at a threshold energy of about 7 eV (fit to our experimental data at medium energy, using a semi-classical formalism developed by Andersen *et al*).  $\Rightarrow$ Threshold = binding energy of the anion (3.8 eV) + finite contribution due to the Coulomb repulsion.





•C<sup>-</sup>(<sup>4</sup>S) + N(<sup>4</sup>S) : 4 states do correlate ( $^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ ground state in green). •C<sup>-</sup>(<sup>2</sup>D) + N(<sup>4</sup>S) and C<sup>-</sup>(<sup>2</sup>D) + N(<sup>2</sup>D): 36

states do correlate ( ${}^{3}\Pi$  and  ${}^{1}\Pi$  states in green).

 $\Rightarrow$ among these 40 states, some purely repulsive might contribute to the 8(±4) % that branch into the C<sup>-</sup> + N channels.

•73 states correlate to the 10 neutral limits

•Lavendy *et al* : 13 curves of  $\Sigma^+$  and  $\Pi$  symmetries displayed in blue

Nine of them are bound states!

•States of  $\Sigma^{-}$ ,  $\Delta$ ,  $\Phi$  and  $\Gamma$  symmetries unknown.

A majority could be associated with bound states !

 $\Rightarrow$ the pure detachment into CN + 2e is overwhelmingly dominant!

⇒the upper  ${}^{2}\Sigma^{+}$  (5, 6) and  ${}^{2}\Pi$  (6) states could very well contribute to the very weak dissociation C+N channels.

MEIBE (Western-Ontario Canada) : a single pass merged beam setup



# $HCN^+/HNC^+ + e$



- interchange reactions with atoms/molecules
- (ex : J. Chem. Phys., 109, 1743 (1998) or J. Phys. Chem., 99, 12204 (1995)).

-Interstellar chemistry considered by some authors.

## **HNC<sup>+</sup>**: less documented

 $\Rightarrow$  Ground state :  ${}^{2}\Sigma^{+}$ 

-Vibrational structures

•NH stretch3365.0 cm<sup>-1</sup>•Bend577.6 cm<sup>-1</sup>•NC stretch2195.2 cm<sup>-1</sup>

(J. Chem. Phys., 97, 1664, (1992)).

(J. Chem. Phys., 97, 1664, (1992)).

(J. Chem. Phys., 97, 1664, (1992)).

...little amount of data for the A state...

Some experimental facts... -Interest in the isomerization chemistry HNC<sup>+</sup>: more stable than HCN<sup>+</sup> (0.98eV). -Two different gas mixtures within the source  $3.35\% N_2 - 8.35\% CH_4 - 83.3\% CO_2$ ⇒HNC<sup>+</sup> : 96.2%.  $\Rightarrow$  HCN<sup>+</sup> : 3.8%. ♦90%  $N_2$  - 10%  $CH_4$  (efficient isomerization reaction of HCN<sup>+</sup>/HNC<sup>+</sup> with CH<sub>4</sub>)  $\Rightarrow$  HNC<sup>+</sup> : 87.5%.  $\Rightarrow$  HCN<sup>+</sup> : 12.5%. -Internal excitation of the target ions ♦ HCN<sup>+</sup>: X<sup>2</sup>Π, A<sup>2</sup>Σ<sup>+</sup> (radiative lifetime : 3ms) and B<sup>2</sup>Σ<sup>+</sup> (0.40 and 5.25 eV) above X state). **♦** HNC<sup>+</sup>:  $X^2\Sigma^+$ ,  $A^2\Pi$  and  $B^2\Sigma^+$  (2.13 and 10.63 eV). DR meeting Chicago August 26-30 2001



 $8.35\% N_2 - 8.35\% CH_4 - 83.3\% CO_2$ 

HCN<sup>+</sup> DR process considerably more efficient than that for HNC<sup>+</sup>!

## POTENTIAL ENERGY SURFACES IN THE QUASI-DIABATIC REPRESENTATION (see also D Talbi)



Several curve crossings between repulsive states (of different symmetries) and Rydberg states ⇒"Indirect" process.



# Motivations

#### -Fundamental

Collisional properties of the system  $C_4^- + e$  (detachment/dissociation) and study of the dianions  $C_4^{-2-}$  (Structures, energetics...).

#### -More applied...

\*Possible astrophysical significance of the  $C_n$  species as contributors to the formation of the long-chain cyanopolyynes, carbon dust, PAH's and DIB's.

 $Involvement of large C_n$  clusters in the nucleation of carbon particles and formation of soot in hydro-carbon flames.



# What is known about $C_4^-$

 $C_4^{-}(X^2\Pi_g)$  ground state

-Schmatz *et al* (Int J Mass Spectrom Ion Proc, <u>149/150</u>, 621, (1995))

 $\rightarrow$  symmetric stretch vibrational frequencies predicted to be 2082.7 and 911.3 cm<sup>-1</sup> for v1 and v2, respectively (Ab-initio)

-Maier's group (JCP, <u>103</u>, 48, (1995))

→ several electronic transitions  $C^2\Pi_u - X^2\Pi_g$ ,  $A^2\Sigma^+_g - X^2\Pi_g$ ,  $B^2\Sigma^+_u - X^2\Pi_g$ , (2)<sup>2</sup> $\Pi_u - X^2\Pi_g$  and (3)<sup>2</sup> $\Pi_u - X^2\Pi$  (Experiments in matrix)

 $\rightarrow$  v1 and v2 determined + symmetric bending mode v4 of 396 cm<sup>-1</sup>

-Zhao *et al* (JCP, <u>105</u>, 2575, (1996) – Experiments in gas phase)

 $\rightarrow$ two photon photodetachment techniques: for the C<sup>2</sup> $\Pi_{u}$  - X<sup>2</sup> $\Pi_{g}$  transition, (JPCP, <u>228</u>, 293, (1998) – Experiments in gas phase)

→ vibrationless origins of the  $(2)^2\Pi_u - X^2\Pi_g$  and  $(3)^2\Pi_u - X^2\Pi$  bands differ only by 0.3 and 0.5 % to that was found in the matrix work

-Szczepanski *et al* (JPCA, <u>101</u>, 8788, (1997))

 $\rightarrow$  v3 antisymmetric stretch

#### Various open channels over the energy range...

 $C_4$  e

 $C_4$  2e 3.9 eV  $C_2$   $C_2$  e 6.2 eV $C_3$  C e 6.4 eV $C_3$  C e 7.2 eV $C_3$  C 2e 8.4 eV $2C_2$  2e 9.5 eV  $C_2$  C C 10.9 eV $C_2 \ 2C \ e \ 12.1 \ eV$  $C_{2}$  2C 12.9 eV  $C_2$  C C e 14.1 eV $C_2 \ 2C \ 2e \ 15.4 \ eV$  $2C \ 2C \ 18.8 \ eV$ 

## Detection of the neutral fragments: MCA spectrum



Within one channel, how to get the branching fractions?



Grid inserted in front of the SBD detector



Relative energy (eV)

Apparent threshold : at ~ 6eV Rhombus ?
Photothreshold at 2.2 eV + contribution due to the Coulomb repulsion
Cross-section magnitude on top: ~1-2 x10<sup>-16</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>
Detachment dominant over dissociation (~95%)
Near threshold resonance due to

the dianion (0.7fs)



Monitoring of the produced ion-pair fragments in dianionic decay: multi-coincidence time-of-flight techniques

Mathur et al CPL, 277, 558, (1997).

# Conclusion

# Results on

 $> CN^+ + e$ 

-CROSS SECTIONS (Diatomic) +BRANCHING RATIOS (Manifold of exothermic channels)

## $> CN^- + e$

-THRESHOLD, DETACHMENT OVER DISSOCIATION (Grid technique)

## > HCN<sup>+</sup>/HNC<sup>+</sup> + e

-IZOMERISATION CHEMISTRY, CROSS SECTIONS (Large differences between the two isomers : rationalized)

 $> C_4^- + e$ -THRESHOLD, DETACHMENT OVER DISSOCIATION and DIANION

# **SPECIAL THANKS !**

M Larsson and his group (Stockholm University)
 D Hanstorp and his group (Chalmers University)
 JBA Mitchell (University of Western Ontario and Rennes 1)